NO. UWY X06 CV 03 0183606S

SUPERIOR COURT

•

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

NEW ENGLAND ESTATES, LLC

WATERBURY

V.

COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET

TOWN OF BRANFORD, ET AL.

FEBRUARY 6, 2007

NEW ENGLAND ESTATES' AND TOWN OF BRANFORD'S JOINT MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL

The plaintiff, New England Estates L.L.C. ("NEE") and the defendant Town of Branford ("Town"), jointly move this Court for a continuance of the February 9, 2007 jury selection date to August 7, 2007. In support hereof, NEE respectfully represents as follows:

- 1. Jury selection is currently scheduled for February 9, 2007.
- 2. On January 22, 2007 and January 29, 2007, NEE, the Town and Cross Complainants Frank Perrotti, Jr. and Thomas Santa Barbara, Jr. ("Cross-Complainants") appeared before Judge Silbert for a mediation conference.
- 3. Subsequent to mediation, NEE and the Town reached a settlement agreement, pursuant to which NEE agreed to seek the necessary permits and approvals to develop the 77-

Perrotti and Santa Barbara are parties in the third of three cases now combined and before the court. That case is No. UWY-CV-03-4010334-S.

Oη:η d 9-831 LOOZ

COMPLEX LITIGATION 400 GRAND ST WATERBURY CT 06702

O. ZVV/

acre parcel, in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement. If NEE receives the necessary permits and approvals, the Town agrees to convey the 77-acre parcel to NEE.

- 4. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, Town agencies will render their decisions on NEE's various applications for permits and approvals no later than Friday, May 25, 2007, and publish their decisions no later than Wednesday, May 30, 2007, thus allowing the parties to determine whether any appeal has been taken no later than Thursday, June 14, 2007.
- 5. Because the effectuation of the settlement agreement is subject to NEE's ability to obtain the requisite permits and approvals, a continuance is necessary to enable NEE to pursue its various applications.
- 6. In the event that NEE fails to receive the necessary permits and approvals, this case will proceed to trial on August 7, 2007.
- 7. Perrotti and Santa Barbara are not parties to the settlement agreement between NEE and the Town. However, settlement negotiations continue in earnest between all the parties. At this time, the prospect for a universal settlement is difficult to predict.
- 8. Perrotti and Santa Barbara have informed counsel for NEE that they object to the instant motion.

LFR. 0. 7001

- 9. This is NEE's first request for a continuance of the jury selection date. By a motion dated January 11, 2007, the Town moved for a continuance of the jury selection date, which motion was denied.
- 10. NEE, the Town and the Cross-Complainants all respectfully ask the Court to allow them to participate by teleconference in the hearing on this motion scheduled for 9:30 AM on Friday, February 9, 2007.

WHEREFORE, NEE and the Town now jointly move this Court to continue the jury selection date to August 7, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFF NEW ENGLAND ESTATES L.L.C.

James W. Bergenn

Christopher M. Mattei

Shipman & Goodwin LLP

One Constitution Plaza

Hartford, CT 06070

Tel.: (860) 251-5000

Fax: (860) 251-5219

Its Attorneys

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent by facsimile on this the 6th day of February 2007 to the following counsel of record:

David S. Doyle, Esq. The Marcus Law Firm 111 Whitney Avenue New Haven, CT 06510

Steven R. Humphrey, Esq. Brian R. Smith, Esq. Robinson & Cole LLP 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597

Christopher M. Mattei

<u>ORDER</u>

The Joint Motion For a Continuance of Trial Date having been heard, it is hereby ORDERED: GRANTED/DENIED.

Per teleconference with all corensel of record and Debbie, CR present. MBF/c.O.

BY THE COURT,

Overins, J 2-9-07

#61381v.1